### TAMILNADU AGRICULTURAL UNIVERSITY

Dr.M.Paramathma, Ph.D.,

Coimbatore - 3.

Director of Research

#### No. DR/P7/Release proposal for 2012/2011 dt.20.7.2011

To All Deans / Directors All Heads of Departments All Heads of Research Stations

Sir,

Sub: Release proposal for 2012 – variety / agrl. Implements / management technology proposals – requesting – regarding.

Kindly send 8 copies (each) of the release proposal of variety and technology in the prescribed proforma enclosed **on or before 15.8.2011.** The proposal should contain detailed data (seasonwise / OFT / MLT / station trials etc. and pest and disease reactions besides other special traits) as per the enclosed proforma. Moreover, the entries finalized for release in the respective Annual Research Workshops may be raised in the respective research stations in a sizable area along with the prevailing national check and the latest release(s) of the station by Kharif / Rabi 2011. Director of Research; Director, TRRI and Director, CPBG can be invited for witnessing the potential of the cultures under proposal for release. As indicated earlier, non official members of the State Variety Release Committee may also be called to the respective stations for trial inspection.

Most important point to be considered for release is that the entry being proposed for release must have an yield advantage over the private variety / hybrid of same duration group. Moreover, the agricultural implements should have been evaluated for its efficiency in co-ordination with Agrl. Engineering Department officials. Similarly, the management technologies to be proposed for release must have been evaluated in a minimum of 20 locations each in a sizeable area (atleast in 25-30 cents if it is a field management technology; repeated confirmation of laboratory results across various laboratories if it is a lab generated technology and accordingly for other technologies).

Please remember that the technology to be proposed for release must have

- 1. A clear cutting edge over the existing technology of the private company
- 2. An innovative tinge

As earlier suggested by the esteemed Vice-Chancellor, the technology as a wholesome package (including the inputs from the supporting department) alone will be considered if it satisfies the above mentioned criteria.

Encl : Proforma

### PROFORMA FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL OF RELEASE OF CROP-VARIETY TO CENTRAL SUB-COMMITTEE ON CROP STANDS, NOTIFICATION AND RELEASE OF VARIETIES

1.	Name of the Crop and Species	:
2.	a. Name of the culture under which it was tested	:
	b. Proposed name of the variety	: TNAU
3.	Sponsored by	:
4.	<ul> <li>a. Institution or agency responsible for developing variety (with address)</li> </ul>	:
	<ul> <li>Name of persons who helped in the development of variety</li> </ul>	:
5.	a. Parentage with details of its pedigree	:
	b. Source of material in case of introduction	:
	c. Breeding method	:
	d. Breeding objective	:
6.	State the varieties which most closely resemble the proposed variety in general characteristics	:
7.	<ul> <li>a. Whether recommended by Seminar / Conference / Workshop / State Seed sub- committee</li> </ul>	:
	<ul> <li>b. If so, its recommendations with specific justification for the release of proposed variety</li> </ul>	:
	c. Specific areas of its adoption	:
8.	Recommended ecology	:
9.	Description of variety / hybrid	:
	a. Plant height	:
	<ul> <li>Distinguishing morphological characters (as in Crop Production Guide)</li> </ul>	:
	<ul> <li>Maturity (range in number of days) Seeding / Transplanting to flowering, Seed to seed</li> </ul>	:
	d. Maturity group (early, medium and late-Wherever such classification exists)	:
	<ul> <li>Reaction to major disease under field and controlled conditions (reaction to physiological strains / races/bio-types to be indicated wherever possible)</li> </ul>	:
	<ul> <li>Reactions to major pests (under field and controlled conditions including store pests)</li> </ul>	:
	g. Agronomic features (e.g) resistance to lodging, shattering; fertilizer responsiveness; suitability for early or late sown conditions, seed rate etc.	:
	<ul> <li>Quality of produce of grain, forage/fibre including nutritive value, where ever relevant</li> </ul>	:
	i. Reaction to stresses	:

10.	Description of parents of the hybrid	:	Female	male
	a. Plant height	:		
	<ul> <li>b. Distinguishing morphological characters (as in Crop Production Guide)</li> </ul>	:		
	c. Days to 50% flowering	:		
	<ul> <li>Maturity (range in number of days from seed to seed)</li> </ul>	:		
	e. Is there any problem of synchronization? If yes, methods to overcome it	:		
	<ul> <li>Reaction to major pests under field and controlled conditions (reaction to physiological strains/races bio-types to be indicated wherever possible)</li> </ul>	:		
	<ul> <li>g. Reactions to major pests (under field and controlled conditions including store-pests)</li> </ul>	:		
	<ul> <li>Agronomic features (e.g) resistance to lodging and shattering; fertilizer responsiveness; seed rate etc.</li> </ul>	:		
	i. Reaction to stresses	:		
11.	<ul> <li>a. Yield data in regional/inter regional/ district trials year wise (levels of fertilizer applications, density of plant population and superiority over local control/standard variety to be indicated)</li> </ul>	:		
	<ul> <li>b. Yield data from national demonstration/ large scale demonstration</li> </ul>	:		
	c. Average yield under normal conditions (Yield in kgs/ha)	:		
	d. Fullest yielding potential of the culture under optimum INM, IPM conditions	:		
12.	a. Agency responsible for maintaining breeder seed	:		
	b. Quantity of breeder seed in stock (in kgs)	:		
13.	Information on the acceptability of the variety by farmers / consumers / industry	:		
14.	Specific recommendations, if any for seed production	:		
15.	Cost of cultivation for the proposed entry & BC ratio	:		
16.	Vivid presentation with the help of photographs of the variety is to be submitted by the breeder	:		
17.	Any other pertinent information	:		

## I. GUIDELINES FOR SENDING VARIETY RELASE PROPOSALS

1.	Variety Release Proposal in the enclosed format	: 12 copies	
2.	Sharp photographs indicating the salient features of variety	: 2 Nos. (co	lour)
3.	Conduct of sufficient ARTS as per norms	:	
4.	If already presented in the University Variety Release Screening Committee, the Remarks made on the earlier proposal and when it was sent earlier	:	
5.	Name of the scientist along with place of work to be invited for presentation	:	
6.	Reaction to pests and diseases (latest data) shall be attached with the proposals	:	
7.	All the scientists contributed in the release of the variety should be included in the proposals.	:	
8.	For location specific varieties, atleast a minimum of 40 trials are needed and for the whole Tamil Nadu, more than 100 trials representing each district where it is to be popularised.	:	

The above details may please be furnished separately while sending for each proposals.

#### II. GUIDELINES FOR SENDING VARIETY RELASE PROPOSALS

- 1. One page note both in English and Tamil should be enclosed
- 2. Morphological characters of the culture should be described fully
- 3. Rainfed and irrigated yield data should be furnished for station trials, MLT and ART separately
- 4. Pest and disease score should be included for all proposal
- 5. Quality parameters like amylose content, protein content gelatinisation temperature and other cooking quality trails for rice, Organoleptic tests, oil content etc. should be furnished for the relevant crops

## PROFORMA FOR SUBMISSION OF RELEASE PROPOSAL OF MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGY

1.	Title	Title of the Technology				
2.	Dire	ectorate responsible for developing the technology	:			
3.	Dep tech	ot. / Res. Station responsible for developing the nology	:			
4.	Scie	entist(s) responsible for developing the technology	:			
5.	Вас	kground / Justification	:			
6.	Is it tecł	an improvement of the existing technology or a new nnology?	:			
	If a indi	n improvement, a brief outline of the existing one cating the deficiency in it	:			
7.	a.	Whether the technology was recommended by Seminar /Conf./Workshop/Crop Scientist Meet etc.	:			
	b.	If so, its recommendations with specific justification for the release of the technology	:			
8.	Spe	cific areas of its adoption	:			
9.	a.	Description of the technology	:			
	b.	Experimental details	:			
	c.	Results (in the concerned station)	:			
	d.	Test verified data in different Research Stations (MLT)	:			
	e.	On-farm testing (in farmers field) / ART details	:			
	f.	Plot size or demonstration area	:			
	g.	Cost effectiveness	:			
10.	Info farr	ormation on the acceptability of the technology by ners / industry / impact analysis	:			
11.	Specific description of the technology (including diagram, photos etc.) in a form to be released and incorporated in Crop Production Guide.					
12.	Indi ene witł	irect benefits derived, if any, like safety to natural mies, safety to environment should be mentioned n full justification.	:			
13.	Any	other pertinent information	:			

Signature of Head of Institution

## **GUIDELINES FOR RELEASE OF MANAGEMENT TECHNOLOGIES**

- Any technology developed should be cost effective ecologically viable and should keep pest / disease below economic threshold level (ETL).
- The economics of adoption of new technologies may be made through partial budgeting indicating added cost, added returns or cost savings so that the information are self explanatory. Since this will also explicitly indicate actual additional cost and additional returns which the Benefit Cost Ratios do not reveal.
- Technology developed should be a product or a process useful to the farming community as a whole.
- In case of development of strains of biocontrol agents, it should be available in sufficient quantities for distribution.
- The management technologies like pest management / pest control should be finalized only after testing for permissible levels of residues in the plant parts and particularly part of economic importance such as fruits, leaves, tubers etc. as the case may be.
- The technology, if specific to a variety, should be recommended only for the variety.
- Season bound technologies, if recommended should take into consideration of the various agroclimatic zones before being recommended for adoption.
- No. of seasons to be tested in concerned TNAU centres : 3 years / 3 seasons (consistently superior in 2 years / seasons).
- No. of location (at different Research Stations) in which technology is to be test verified (MLT) : Minimum 3 locations in the area for which recommended
- No. of OFT in farmers field in the areas of adoption (location specific / entire state) for which the technology is developed : 5 (involving Dept. of Officials / progressive farmers / NGO's)
- The technology recommended should have a minimum of 10 per cent increase in yield / net profit / any other indirect benefits than the existing practice.
- Rainfed and irrigated data should be furnished separately.
- One page note both in English and Tamil should be enclosed.
- Sharp photographs (colour) indicating the salient feature of technology.
- For food processing technology different process parameters and the optimum level in the process to be given for easy adoption.
- Name of the Scientist and Place now working may be indicated so as to invite him/ her for presenting the proposals before University variety release screening Committee meeting.
- All the scientists contributed in the release of the technology should be included in the proposals.

# PERFORMANCE FOR SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL FOR RELEASE OF IMPLEMENTS / EQUIPMENTS

1.	Name of the Implement / Equipment	:					
2.	Specification of the Implement / Equipment	:					
3.	Purpose	:					
4.	Department / Source responsible for the development	:					
5.	Name of the scientists involved development of implement / equipment	:					
6.	a) Date of start of the project	:					
	b) Project No.	:					
	c) Date of Closure	:					
7.	Number of trials conducted in the Farmer fields / Research stations (Enclosed detailed trails report)	:	Trial1	Trial2	Trial3	Trial4	Trial5
8.	a) Cost of the operation , Rs./ha	:					
	b) Comparative savings in time and labour	:					
	c) Coverage	:					
9.	Cost of implement / equipment	:					
10.	Enclose the following	:					
	a) One page write up in English	:					
	b) One page write up in Tamil	:					
	c) Details of trails conducted and the results obtained	••					
	d) Photographs of the unit and in the working condition	:					
	e) Opinion of the farmers /Scientists / Industrialist / Users	:					
11.	Details of patent applied (Details to be enclosed )	:					
12.	Recommendation of the Head of Department	:					

Signature of the Scientist

Signature of the Head of Department